

This is a summary of the decision of the DPC

The Data Protection Office received a complaint by email from Complainant against Respondent regarding the use of CCTV cameras. The Complainant stated as quoted that:

“Mo vwazin inn poz 2 kamera, me enn ladan pe donn lor lantre prensipal. Lapolis ti dir li retir sa kamera-la, me enn lot zour lapolis ti re-vinn me li pa finn dakor pou retir li. Li finn mem dir lapolis ki ler mo rant lakaz ek dan enn aterkasion li finn dir ki li pou met video mo ser online aköz so bateman, parski li finn gagn sa lor kamera.

Zordi aköz sa kamera nous senti nous lor surveillance et harceller, la police pas p kav fer nanrien.”

Subsequently, the Data Protection Office wrote to the Police to seek assistance for investigating this complaint and to conduct a site visit at Respondent’s premises to verify the camera as to whether the CCTV footage shows viewing outside Respondent’s premises.

By way of a letter, the Police informed the office that: “an *enquiry was carried out into the matter and a site visit was conducted by personnel of (...) Police, whereby it has been stated and found that there was no evidence prejudicial to complainant and that the two cameras were installed for security purposes.*” The Police also enclosed the site visit report together with the letter.

The Data Protection Commissioner has decided as follows:-

In view of the above, the enquiry is closed to the satisfaction of all parties under section 6 of the Data Protection Act 2017 (DPA).