

## **This is a summary of the decision of the DPC**

The Data Protection Office received a complaint by email from Complainant against Respondent regarding the use of CCTV cameras. The Complainant stated as quoted that: *“Mo pé faire 1 plainte contre mon voisin et so famille concernant so camera ki p veille mo lavi privé. Aussi zot meme menace mo bane zanfants et la famille. Meme zot la famille déjà montré moi ki zot trouve kot moi et meme a travers mo la fenetre kan mo allume mo la lumière dan la nuit. Mo ti demande zot au moins bouge camera cctv pou zot pas embarasse moi mais zot fine menace moi et mo la famille.*

*Mo ti déjà rapoorte sa la police mais zot zot pas fine faire oken changement pou bouge zot camera. Mo demande l'autorité faire le nécessaire pou ki mo la famille kapav vivre libre sans ki zot rentre dan mo lavi privé. Sans oublier mo meme enan prevue ki zot camera gagne devant mo la cour complètement. Mo peur pou ret dan mo lacaz avec mo ti bébé kan mo bane grands zanfants et soeurs pas la. Kot mo bizin ferme partou car mo pas kone zot l'intension.”*

Subsequently, the Data Protection Office wrote to the Police to seek assistance for investigating his complaint and to conduct a site visit at Respondent's premises to verify the camera as to whether the CCTV footage shows viewing outside Respondent's premises.

By way of a letter, the Police informed the office that: *“an enquiry was carried out into the matter and a site visit was conducted by personnel of (...) Police, whereby Complainant and Respondent were met and found that there is no camera at Complainant's premises and is not causing prejudice to her. The matter has been settled between both parties.”* The Police also enclosed the site visit report together with the letter.

### **The Data Protection Commissioner has decided as follows:-**

In view of the above, the enquiry is closed to the satisfaction of all parties under section 6 of the Data Protection Act (DPA).