

This is a summary of the decision of the Commissioner.

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Complainant {One neighbour}

VERSUS

Respondent {Another neighbour}

The Data Protection Office received a complaint whereby Complainant alleged that Respondent, his neighbour, had placed a CCTV camera which was facing her house.

A letter was then sent to Respondent requesting him to call at this office to give his statement. Subsequently, Respondent came to this office and was requested to provide his statement on declaration form. However, the latter indicated that he would take the form to submit it later.

In the absence of a response, a letter was again sent to Respondent to remind him to provide his statement. However, the post office, claiming it was insufficiently addressed, returned the letter.

We contacted Complainant by phone to confirm Respondent's exact address and the latter insisted that the address given initially was correct and Respondent was still residing there.

As a result, this office proceeded to a site visit site at the residence of Respondent. The coverage of the CCTV cameras was checked. It could be confirmed that the camera was capturing images of Respondent's premises only i.e. the main gate and entrance.

This office informed same to Complainant and requested the latter to notify this office of any further issues with concrete evidences within a said deadline, otherwise the complaint would be closed.

The Data Protection Commissioner has decided as follows:-

Since no offence has been found to be committed under the Data Protection Act as illustrated above, this enquiry is closed.